

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/479,795	01/10/2000	DAVID J. LUNDIN	53714USA6B	3553
	7	7590 12/16/2002			
	ATTN STEPHEN W BUCKINGHAM			EXAMINER	
	OFFICE OF IN	ITELLECTUAL PROP			
	3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY			TSO, LAURA K	
	P O BOX 3342	27		(
ST PAUL, MN 551333427		551333427		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			2875	
			DATE MAILED: 12/16/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/479,795 Applicant(s)

Art Unit 1234

Lundin

		Tso	1234			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	pondence addre	ss		
	for Reply					
THE N - Extens mailing	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.					
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause to ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailir the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S	ng date of this commur S.C. § 133).	aication.		
Status						
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			•		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) X This ac	tion is non-final.				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposi	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u>	is/are	pending in the	application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/ar	e withdrawn fro	om consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1, 4-10, and 13-17		is/are rejected.			
7) 💢	Claim(s) 2, 3, 11, and 12		is/are objected	to.		
8) 🗌	Claims	are subject to restric	ction and/or elec	tion requirement.		
Applica	tion Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)💢	The drawing(s) filed on Apr 26, 2001 is/are	e a) 💢 accepted or b) 🗆 objecte	ed to by the Exa	miner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved	b) disapprove	ed by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ve been received in Application N	10	·		
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Burelet the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	this N ational S	tage		
14) 🗌	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		(a)			
a) [<u> </u>					
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		O and/or 121.			
Attachm		,,	-			
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper	No(s)			
2) N	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	(PTO-152)			
3) [] Int	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Specification

1. The disclosure should be carefully reviewed to ensure that any and all grammatical,

idiomatic, and spelling or other minor errors are corrected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use

or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1, 4, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by EPA

590,511.

The EPA above discloses a light guide including a light guide core [36], a plurality of

equally spaced light extraction structures [48] and a diffuse reflection material [bottom surface is

described as mirrored: figure 1].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 7-10 and 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EPA 590,511.

Prior art does not disclose the light extraction structures are unequally spaced along the length of the device. However, it is clear from the prior art device that placing light extraction structures in an area causes that area to be illuminated. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to place the extraction structures where illumination is needed and to not place the structures where illumination is not desired thus creating an uneven placement of the structures.

The prior art does not disclose the claimed materials used to make the core of reflection material. However, it would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use any known material to create the core including a polymerizable material such as acrylate or urethane because these materials are all known light conductors. Also, it would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use any known material to create the reflective sheet including a polymeric material, a micro voided material, a micro porous material, such as polytetrafluoroethylene, or a material

Art Unit: 2875

formed by thermally induced phase separation because these materials are all known light reflectors. It has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 2, 3, 11 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Prior art fails to show or suggest an illumination device comprising a light guide core having at least one extraction structures and a diffuse reflective material as claimed in claim 1 and further including the light guide being a fiber.

Prior art fails to show or suggest an illumination device comprising a light guide core having at least one extraction structures and a diffuse reflective material as claimed in claim 1 and further including cladding material surrounding the light guide.

Art Unit: 2875

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to the Examiner at the below-listed number on Mondays and Wednesdays until 2:00pm and Fridays with various hours. Personal interviews may be accommodated anytime on a limited basis.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea, can be reached on 703 305 4939. The fax numbers for this Technology Center 2800 are 703 305 3432 and 703 308 7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0956, Monday-Friday, 830am to 5:00pm, EST.

By:

LAURA TSO

Primary Examiner

703 305 1672